

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**  
for the  
District of Nebraska

United States of America

v.

Dante G. Frazier

Case No: 8:02CR356

USM No: 18028-047

Date of Original Judgment: 12/01/2003

Date of Previous Amended Judgment: \_\_\_\_\_

(Use Date of Last Amended Judgment if Any)

David R. Stickman

Defendant's Attorney

**ORDER REGARDING MOTION FOR SENTENCE REDUCTION  
PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. § 3582(c)(2)**

Upon motion of ☒ the defendant ☐ the Director of the Bureau of Prisons ☐ the court under 18 U.S.C. § 3582(c)(2) for a reduction in the term of imprisonment imposed based on a guideline sentencing range that has subsequently been lowered and made retroactive by the United States Sentencing Commission pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 994(u), and having considered such motion, and taking into account the policy statement set forth at USSG §1B1.10 and the sentencing factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3553(a), to the extent that they are applicable,

**IT IS ORDERED** that the motion is:

☒ **DENIED.** ☐ **GRANTED** and the defendant's previously imposed sentence of imprisonment (*as reflected in the last judgment issued*) of \_\_\_\_\_ months **is reduced to** \_\_\_\_\_.

(Complete Parts I and II of Page 2 when motion is granted)

Except as otherwise provided, all provisions of the judgment dated 12/01/2003 shall remain in effect.

**IT IS SO ORDERED.**

Order Date: 02/08/2016

Effective Date: \_\_\_\_\_  
(if different from order date)



Judge's signature

Laurie Smith Camp, Chief U.S. District Judge

Printed name and title

---

**This page contains information that should not be filed in court unless under seal.**  
**(Not for Public Disclosure)**

---

Dante G. Frazier

DEFENDANT: \_\_\_\_\_

CASE NUMBER: 8:02CR356

DISTRICT: District of Nebraska

**I. COURT DETERMINATION OF GUIDELINE RANGE (*Prior to Any Departures*)**

Previous Total Offense Level: \_\_\_\_\_ Amended Total Offense Level: \_\_\_\_\_

Criminal History Category: \_\_\_\_\_ Criminal History Category: \_\_\_\_\_

Previous Guideline Range: \_\_\_\_\_ to \_\_\_\_\_ months Amended Guideline Range: \_\_\_\_\_ to \_\_\_\_\_ months

**II. SENTENCE RELATIVE TO THE AMENDED GUIDELINE RANGE**

- ☐ The reduced sentence is within the amended guideline range.
- ☐ The previous term of imprisonment imposed was less than the guideline range applicable to the defendant at the time of sentencing as a result of a substantial assistance departure or Rule 35 reduction, and the reduced sentence is comparably less than the amended guideline range.
- ☐ The reduced sentence is above the amended guideline range.

**III. ADDITIONAL COMMENTS**

Due to the quantity of pseudoephedrine attributed to the Defendant, there is no change in the Defendant's guideline range. Therefore, the Defendant's pro se Motion to Reduce Sentence - USSC Amendment (Filing Nos. 200) is denied; and the Federal Public Defender's Motion to Withdraw (Filing No. 203) is granted.